

From staff review:

Project #	Rank	Average Score	Score Spread	Average Equity Score	Lead Organization	Project Title	Funding Amount Requested	Priority (High, Med, Low)	Effective to Meet Objectives
12	1	54.25	11	3.75	Cascadia Wild	Wolverine Tracking Project	\$10,000.00	Med-Low	Yes
14	2	53.88	8.5	4.75	Oregon Hunters	Restoring Hope & Habitat	\$20,000.00	High	Yes
6	3	53.13	8.5	5.375	Sandy River W.C.	Sandy River Delta Rare Turtle Surveys & Conservation	\$ 6,000.00	Medium	Yes
23	4	51.75	8	2	OSU-Cascades	Adventurers for audible bats! A community-supported scientific survey of	\$18,542.00	High	Yes
28	5	51	6	2.25	Salem Audubon Society	Motus Receiving Site at Ankeny National Wildlife Refuge	\$10,000.00	Medium	Yes
30	6	50.75	9.5	5	Oswego Lake W.C.	Westlank Oak Woodland Restoration Project	\$20,000.00	Medium	Yes
9	7	50.25	12	2	ODFW	Northwestern Pond Turtle (NWPT) Life History and Habitat Study in Mosier	\$20,000.00	High	Yes
22	8	49.5	14	1.5	Klamath Bird Observatory	Using GPS technology to track Oregon Vesper Sparrows...	\$19,998.32	Medium	Yes
38	9	49.5	8	2.25	NERI	Harborton Frog Mortality Assessment	\$ 6,449.00	High	No
8	10	49.38	10	3.75	The Klamath Tribes	Habitat Restoration for Beaver Reintroduction in the Klamath Marsh N.W.R.	\$20,000.00	Med-Low	Yes
10	11	49.38	11.5	1.25	OWF	Southern Oregon Wildlife Crossings Mitigation and Alternatives Analysis	\$20,000.00	Medium	Yes
36	12	48.63	12	1.25	Trumpeter Swan Society	Oregon Trumpeter Swan Research and Education	\$20,000.00	Low	Yes
11	13	48.38	13	2.5	Oregon CRU	...native fish population status and species distribution in Goose Lake Valley	\$19,807.00	High	Yes
39	14	48.38	14	5.5	Wayfinding Academy	Wayfinding Ambassadors Program	\$20,000.00	High	Yes
24	15	48.25	23	5.75	Hike it Baby	Bring it Outside	\$20,000.00	High	Yes
40	16	48	13	1.75	Wallowa Resources	White-headed Woodpecker Monitoring, Demographics, and Space Use in	\$10,709.00	High	Yes
31	17	46.75	14	2.125	North Coast Land Cons.	Rec management plan for the Rainforest Reserve and Arch Cape Forest	\$16,000.00	High	Yes
35	18	46.63	9.5	1.75	Inst. for Applied Ecology	...effectiveness of prairie restoration for Streaked Horned Lark in the Will. Val.	\$18,643.00	Medium	Yes
29	19	46.5	16	3.125	Powder Basin W.C.	Camp Creek Ecosystem Resiliancy	\$20,000.00	Medium	Yes
20	20	45.5	13	2.25	So. Oregon Land Cons.	Pompadour Bluff Access Infrastructure Phase I	\$20,000.00	High	Yes
25	21	45.5	18	5.75	APANO	APANO's BIPOC Youth Nature Series 2021	\$20,000.00	Medium	Maybe
27	22	45.25	20.5	3.625	Wallowa Land Trust	East Moraine Community Forest Carrying Capacity Study	\$20,000.00	Medium	Yes
19	23	45.13	14	1.75	The Beaver Coalition	Update the Beaver Restoration Guidebook	\$19,880.00	Not a priority	Yes
33	24	44.75	24	2.5	Sisters R.S., USFS	Restoring Fire to...habitats and species on the Sisters Ranger District	\$19,728.85	Medium	Yes
37	25	44.63	16.5	6	People of Color Outdoors	People of Color Outdoors Guardians at Whitaker Ponds	\$15,010.00	High	Yes
34	26	44.5	7	1	Levi Lab @ OSU	Coastal Marten Genetics	\$19,948.00	Medium	Partially
18	27	44.38	12	1	OHA	EFM Road Retirement in Ponderosa Pine Woodland...	\$20,000.00	Low	Yes
5	28	44.25	20	3	ODFW	Continuing the Upper Klamath Basin Juvenile Chinook Salmon Release	\$20,000.00	High	Maybe
21	29	44.13	18	4.25	Source One Serenity	Boulder Creek Wilderness Trails Project	\$ 5,018.00	Medium	Yes
26	30	43.2	17	3.25	Conservation InSight	...Habitat Restoration on Grassland Birds at Powell Butte Nature Park	\$16,000.00	Medium	Yes
16	31	43	11	1.25	Oregon State University	New Monitoring Technique for Yellow Rail in East Cascades Ecoregion	\$19,997.00	High	Yes
32	32	42.13	15	5.375	Deschutes Land Trust	Diverse Stakeholder Engagement for Skyline Forest	\$ 8,000.00	Medium	Yes
17	33	40.75	16	1	USGS	Colonial waterbird predation on the salmonids and suckers of the Upper K.B.	\$20,000.00	Medium-Low	Yes
15	34	37.5	21	1	OWF	community science and photography...diets tufted puffins...at Haystack	\$20,000.00	Medium	Yes
13	35	37.25	12	2.25	Rogue Mtn Bike Assoc.	Bull Gap Jump Line	\$20,000.00	High	Yes
4	36	36.25	24	2.5	Oregon Boating Found.	Youth Programs & Kayak Tours	\$12,250.00	Low	Yes
7	37	21.25	15	2	Yamhill Carlton H.S.	2021 - YC Trap Team	\$ 2,500.00	Medium	Yes
							\$261,505.32		
							\$277,505.32		
							\$296,148.32		
							\$316,148.32		

Project #	Rank	Lead Organization	Project Title	Funding Amount Requested	Priority (High, Med, Low)	Effective to Meet Objective	Questions	Comments
12	1	Cascadia Wild	Wolverine Tracking Project	\$ 10,000	Medium-Low	Yes	Will these surveys be scientifically based such that the data can be analyzed and produce findings on things like occupancy, etc.? Or will the data simply be 'this organism was documented at time X at place Y'?	ODFW has a great relationship with Cascadia Wild and they have been a useful asset for opportunistically detecting rare carnivores around Mt Hood. Their public-lead surveys will continue to be of value as questions regarding wolverines, Sierra Nevada Red Fox, and wolves remain in their study area.
14	2	Oregon Hunters Association	Restoring Hope & Habitat	\$ 20,000	High	Yes	Project states that "care will be taken" to remove non-native, invasive vegetation. What does this mean?, will a locally adapted seed source be used for seedlings?, Why are you planning a fall vs. spring planting?	I'm a little out of my depth here as a wildlife biologist vs. a botanist. I think the idea is excellent, but would hope that a botanist has been consulted to vet feasibility of outlined plan. Biggest worry is cheatgrass encroachment before plugs can be established. Hopefully there is a plan in place for mechanical or chemical removal.
6	3	Sandy River W.C.	Sandy River Delta Rare Turtle Surveys & Conservation	\$ 6,000	Medium	Yes	Will planned tree removal be coordinated with the USFS? What time of the year would tree felling occur? Felling should occur in a manner that does not result in the "take" of protected migratory birds or their active nests. Will turtle basking structures be secured in some manner to prevent floating away during high water events? If not, is there a plan to provide additional basking structure if/when it needs to be replaced at target turtle areas? What turtle survey protocol will be used? Note: ODFW will recommend a specific standardized 30-minute VES protocol. How will turtle survey data be managed and reported to ODFW? Ideally bullfrog control efforts would include all ages classes, not just egg masses. Was this considered? SRD gets heavy recreational use - how will bullfrog control efforts be conducted with the viewing public in mind? Turtle hatchling habitat consists of areas of shallow water with lots of cover (places to hide from potential predators that also provides foraging opportunity). A recommended method for creating hatchling habitat is placement of small diameter woody material (e.g., piles of sticks, habitat piles) in shallow water areas close to suitable nesting habitat/where nesting activity has been documented or is suspected. Is this planned? Will PSU grad student Emma Scott also be training others in amphibian ID and bullfrog removal, ensuring that native amphibians are not accidentally	I am familiar with the project site and an active project partner having committed to providing technical assistance related to habitat improvements as well as visual surveys for turtles. This project compliments on-going work by the SRWC to restore native habitats, conserve turtles, and engage community partners in conservation efforts at the site. In Summer 2021, I provided Bill Weiler and several members of Wisdom of the Elders with an introduction to native turtles, turtle identification, and turtle survey techniques. The SRD is home to a remnant population of Western Painted Turtles. One of the biggest challenges at the site is amount of recreational use and number of dogs (many off-leash despite policy). Removal of American bullfrogs may also benefit native amphibians present at the site. Basking structure is lacking at the site. More info is needed on where turtles are nesting / trying to nest. ODFW partnered with the SRWC several years ago to produce the plastic turtle ID cards - these are very popular. ODFW is running low on turtle ID cards Fyi. ODFW suggests trained volunteers also conduct turtle nesting surveys. ODFW (Susan Barnes) can provide this training to Emma Scott. The proposed Project Timeline needs to be adjusted - training in Winter/Spring), bullfrog removal in Summer, tree felling in ??
23	4	OSU-Cascades	Adventurers for audible bats! A community-supported scientific survey of Oregon's rarest desert bats	\$ 18,542	High	Yes	How will analysis of results be supported? Are data collected to be integrated into data collected through NABat or will this be stand alone data? Are data points going to be observational only(e.g. a bat was here on this date) or is the protocol structured in such a way that there can be an analysis of data to determine probability of occurrence and other metrics? Will community scientists engaged in this project be local to sites surveyed to ensure that a diverse set of Oregonians are involved or will community scientists be based more local to the PI?	These bat species are not well sampled by existing surveys conducted in Oregon. This survey methodology could help to fill that gap as well as engage a wider audience in bat conservation. ODFW and partners are seeking out ways to better sample the bat species that are overlooked by acoustic monitoring plans, including these. This project would directly target a priority identified by ODFW and partners.
28	5	Salem Audubon Society	Motus Receiving Site at Ankeny National Wildlife Refuge	\$ 10,000	Medium	Yes	What is the plan for long term maintenance? They propose putting a cement pad in, has compliance been done? What is the involvement of the Refuge Staff?	Long term maintenance is intended to be done by NWR staff, but this application didn't clearly communicate that this project includes partnership with the NWR and Service staff. I understand from outside communications with the Service that this partnership is in place, but would recommend following up with the applicant. For a Motus station to be successful, clear on the ground partnerships with the land management agency are necessary. This location has been identified as a priority for movement monitoring in the development of a successful Motus network and would be key to understanding use of the Willamette Valley by a variety of sensitive species.

Project #	Rank	Lead Organization	Project Title	Funding Amount Requested	Priority (High, Med, Low)	Effective to Meet Objective	Questions	Comments
30	6	Oswego Lake W.C.	Westlank Oak Woodland Restoration Project	\$ 20,000	Medium	Yes	<p>What was the budget/cost of restoring Tract A (17 acres)? How does this compare to amount of restoration component for Tracts B &amp; C (total of 4.4 acres)? What percentage of HOA members have participated in oak restoration efforts completed to date? How will the arborist activities (tree removal and invasive plant species removal) be conducted to avoid "take" of protected bird species and their active nests? Where will the interpretative signage be installed? Will the general public have access to the signage it or will it be limited to HOA members? What type of activities (condoned or rogue), if any, occur in the oak restoration areas (A, B, and C)?</p>	I appreciate the detailed line-itemed budget with partner match, hourly rates, etc. with corresponding description in the Partners section. A model for other applicants. The project has a strong monitoring component and strong plan for reporting results - important components that all projects should include to demonstrate results, lessons learned, and justify expenditure of funds and possible requests. If the interpretative signage is not available to the general public to view / benefit from, I'm not sure OCRF should fund this component of the project.
9	7	ODFW	Northwestern Pond Turtle (NWPT) Life History and Habitat Study in Mosier	\$ 20,000	High	Yes	<p>Application states radio transmitters will be placed on 10 additional turtles in one section (OCRF Funds) and 11 turtles in another section (Timeline). Please clarify. Q about technology - how close do PIT tagged turtles need to be to the antenna/array to be detected? Not sure how large/small the pilot pond is but wondering if 4 antennas is adequate coverage for the site. What is battery life of VHF radio transmitters and GPS data loggers? Security /maintenance of equipment - please address how equipment will be protected from damage (from critters, weather, other) and possible theft. What if anything will be done to NWPT nests when/if they are found during the project? E.g., not protected but monitored for outcome, protected to exclude above-ground predators and monitored, nothing.</p>	<p>This population of NWPT is significant due to its geographic location and size. ODFW intends to capture the project site within a Priority Conservation Area for the species. This project will help fill some key data gaps needed to help protect this NWPT population into the future. In Dec. 2017, ODFW Conservation Program staff, identified NWPT as one of the Top 5 Priority Species in the East Cascades Ecoregion. The NWPT is being considered by the USFWS for listing under the federal ESA with a decision due September 2023. While one year of data would be valuable and informative, ideally this project would occur for at least two years since Year 1 is a Pilot. If Year 1 results are good and technology works/any kinks can be fixed/worked out, it would be great to get more GPS transmitters on all the radio-tagged turtles to continue study over multiple years. May also be worthwhile to get iButton temperature loggers on all the turtles. Similar research methods have been used successfully on other species of semi-aquatic turtles (E., Blanding's, Wood turtles). The combination of VHF and GPS technology on a single turtle seems to offset the strengths and weaknesses of each technology FYI. I'd like to see this project receive funding for at least 3 years. Seems like a grad student could be primary lead on this, with ODFW and ODFW intern advising and assisting.</p>
22	8	Klamath Bird Observatory	Using GPS technology to track Oregon Vesper Sparrows...	\$ 19,998	Medium	Yes	<p>Informing Motus site selection is described in this proposal, but is not listed as one of the goals of the study. How does this project intend to inform future data collection efforts like Motus on wintering grounds and stopover sites? Are there opportunities to train new community scientists and engage with community members beyond the 25 already working on this project?</p>	Threats identified within the OCS for the OR Vesper Sparrow do not include an analysis of those that the species faces outside of Oregon. Projects like these may increase our opportunities to work with partners outside of Oregon to protect Oregon breeding birds through their whole life cycle when they leave the state for the winter.
38	9	NERI	Harborton Frog Mortality Assessment	\$ 6,449	High	No	<p>How would improved mortality rates help to expedite process towards long-term solutions? Could existing data (e.g., egg mass surveys, frog shuttle numbers) be used to calculate trend estimates that could infer additive mortality instead? Why are surveys planned at Palensky WA, Audubon and Springville Road since this project is specific to road mortality at Harborton?</p>	<p>While working to find a solution to this issue is relevant and important, I do not feel like the proposed study design would capture a more accurate mortality rate than what is currently being done with roadkill surveys. I really question how successfully you could safely track individuals in passage across HWY 30, and if money and time could be used in a more impactful way for this population. I would suggest a more robust study design that focuses on calculating population trends of the Harborton population instead of mortality rates of road crossing. I think proof of a declining population would be more sufficient, defensible, and feasible in triggering a long-term solution (e.g., an underpass or wetland restoration). Potentially, the relationship of population trend data to traffic levels on HWY 30 during migration season could be teased out and correlated as well to infer additive mortality directly related to road crossings.</p>

Project #	Rank	Lead Organization	Project Title	Funding Amount Requested	Priority (High, Med, Low)	Effective to Meet Objective	Questions	Comments
8	10	The Klamath Tribes	Habitat Restoration for Beaver Reintroduction in the Klamath Marsh N.W.R.	\$ 20,000	Low-Medium	Yes	Willow riparian restoration techniques often consist of simply planting willow cuttings as it's extremely cheap and easy. Schools have gotten involved in doing just that for beaver in the Umpqua. While a greenhouse approach increases the odds that the plants will survive, questions of speed, quantify vs. quality, and costs should be addressed because only the more/most expensive option is considered in the proposal. Also, what is the measure of success for the portion of the project covered in the proposal? Trees planted and evaluating the goal of 50% survival? The current 'Outcomes and Measuring Success' section has little to do with the OCRF proposal and more to do about possible events down the road. As such, it may make it challenging during reporting time to see the connection of OCRF dollars to conservation uplift.	This project attempts to increase wetland habitat through BDAs and improve habitat for beaver through vegetation restoration. The focus on first meeting the organism's needs is applauded and ideally, the creation of habitat for beaver may negate the need to reintroduce beaver (because they'll find it and move in on their own).
10	11	OWF	Southern Oregon Wildlife Crossings Mitigation and Alternatives Analysis	\$ 20,000	Medium	Yes	While Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument is mentioned, and the proposal notes that conservation easements exist in the area, it is unclear if the habitat on either side of the six sites proposed for the feasibility analysis fall under existing protections. The Committee should consider asking the applicants how these six sites were selected, and the extent to which mitigation at these sites would link protected areas on either side of I-5—crossing structures that funnel wildlife to/from unprotected habitat will not ensure long-term connectivity for wildlife as private lands may be sold, or the land use at the sites may change.	The proposed project area has significant value in the region for wildlife connectivity, especially when considering impacts from the I-5 corridor and looking into future climate scenarios. ODFW has several analyses indicating that this particular region of I-5 is a connectivity barrier for multiple species, including fisher, that otherwise have suitable habitat on either side of the I-5 corridor. It is critically important that any feasibility assessment take a science-based approach. This proposal has identified potential crossing locations that will be assessed in the project. These six sites may not be, biologically, the most effective locations for the placement of crossing structures, and poorly-sited structures may do more harm than good. Oregon has piteously few dedicated wildlife crossing structures on public roadways. Transportation mitigation to improve connectivity is needed in many areas throughout the state. Locally, the high biodiversity value of Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument and the intersection of multiple ecoregions makes this area a high priority for connectivity restoration/enhancement, even though at the state level there are areas of higher concern.
36	12	Trumpeter Swan Society	Oregon Trumpeter Swan Research and Education	\$ 20,000	Low	Yes	What is the plan for publication of information collected by this study? How would results be communicated to a broader audience?	Trumpeter swan movement and migration is relatively well understood compared to many native Oregon bird species. Information collected by this study may be interesting and successful at engaging at an educational level, but will not likely change the conservation trajectory for the species.
11	13	Oregon CRU	...native fish population status and species distribution in Goose Lake Valley	\$ 19,807	High	Yes	Are abundance estimates expected to be derived from eDNA? If so, how? Will coordination occur with agency biologists already working in the area and others conducting similar work/analyses throughout the region?	The Goose Lakes basin is an important area of species diversity in OR, and this work will help advance our knowledge and conservation efforts for native species in the basin. This work is being done through the Oregon Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit at OSU, for which ODFW is a program and funding partner; Dr. Davis has been coordinating this work closely with various work groups in ODFW (which have suggested and supported the effort).
39	14	Wayfinding Academy	Wayfinding Ambassadors Program	\$ 20,000	High	Yes		A very education and career pathway focused program - this would be a unique offering that speaks more to diversifying Oregon's recreation/natural resource workforce (a high priority) as opposed to any high priority recreation need identified by SCORP
24	15	Hike it Baby	Bring it Outside	\$ 20,000	High	Yes		A strong outdoor equity focused project, and organization with a successful history.

Project #	Rank	Lead Organization	Project Title	Funding Amount Requested	Priority (High, Med, Low)	Effective to Meet Objective	Questions	Comments
40	16	Wallowa Resources	White-headed Woodpecker Monitoring, Demographics, and Space Use in Managed Forests of the Blue Mountains	\$ 10,709	High	Yes	Will Wallowa Resources be participating in the capture of animals or habitat measurements?	White-headed woodpeckers are a top-5 OCS priority species in the Blue Mountain Ecoregion. This project is a great compliment to work being planned by ODFW/USFS. I am a bit confused how Wallowa Resources fits into the telemetry project however.
31	17	North Coast Land Cons.	Rec management plan for the Rainforest Reserve and Arch Cape Forest	\$ 16,000	High	Yes		Also strong conservation/recreation nexus though marked as recreation only.
35	18	Inst. for Applied Ecology	...effectiveness of prairie restoration for Streaked Horned Lark in the Will. Val.	\$ 18,643	Medium	Yes	Are there any opportunities to engage with community scientists or incorporate an educational component into this project?	This would be a useful way to collect data that could be used to refine restoration efforts. This is needed so that lessons learned may be applied forward for more successful conservation efforts.
29	19	Powder Basin W.C.	Camp Creek Ecosystem Resiliency	\$ 20,000	Medium	Yes	Who are they coordinating with at ODFW? Do they have evidence of spotted frog presence as a source population?	Typo in the title is problematic.
20	20	So. Oregon Land Cons.	Pompador Bluff Access Infrastructure Phase I	\$ 20,000	High	Yes		As with Bull Gap Jump Line this meets a high priority of close-to-home recreation access
25	21	APANO	APANO's BIPOC Youth Nature Series 2021	\$ 20,000	Medium	Maybe	What age group is being targeted? How are the youth being selected? Why are they targeting 12 individuals?	This is a good depth of experience, but for a very small number of people. Relatively high cost per participant.
27	22	WalLowa Land Trust	East Moraine Community Forest Carrying Capacity Study	\$ 20,000	Medium	Yes		Strong conservation/recreation nexus. Could also qualify for other grant programs through OPRD
19	23	The Beaver Coalition	Update the Beaver Restoration Guidebook	\$ 19,880	Not a priority	Yes		ODFW staff have heard from the BRG authors that they've made a lot of progress on the update and have not mentioned a need for funds, so it appears the update will occur regardless if receiving an OCRF grant. Despite repeated requests to address, the update appears it will continue to not contain information on the needs of beavers and what practices can be done to improve their habitat. If the habitat for the focal organism is not there, then all of the desired secondary outcomes highlighted in the BRG cannot occur. It's a glaring fault that severely hurts the value of the document and on-the-ground efforts.
33	24	Sisters R.S., USFS	Restoring Fire to...habitats and species on the Sisters Ranger District	\$ 19,729	Medium	Yes	Where exactly to they propose to install the system? Did not make connections to the OCS beyond Strategy Species. Are they looking specifically at Conservation Opportunity Areas?	Worth considering capacity issues among all applicants and whether USFS has other funding opportunities.
37	25	People of Color Outdoors	People of Color Outdoors Guardians at Whitaker Ponds	\$ 15,010	High	Yes	How many weeks would this be funding? Are they working with professional teachers? How are students recruited?	ODFW hires a seasonal intern in partnership with FWS who is usually stationed at the Oregon Zoo, they might be a good fit for assisting with the turtle education
34	26	Levi Lab @ OSU	Coastal Marten Genetics	\$ 19,948	Medium	Partially	In the past, some of the partners involved here have not been forthcoming with data, or have not adhered to ODFW ORS or OAR for data collection. I would advise that if funds are awarded, that we work closely with MRD and game program to ensure that all terms of the grant are followed and that ODFW has access to final data products. USFWS has funded some of this project already, and USFWS has also funded ODFW to implement population and connectivity assessments for martens that overlap with some of the project areas. I don't see this as a problem, as independent estimates of demographic parameters allow for better precision and help to identify potential issues with study outcomes.	I would want to see a more detailed budget clarifying total project cost and the role of OCRF funding vs. other funding entities in the project. It would be helpful to know how data analysis and reporting are being funded, as well as the total cost to implement all 5 milestones described in the timeline. Dates in the application indicate that work has already been completed. Would recommend that the committee ask the applicant to clarify the timeline.
18	27	OHA	EFM Road Retirement in Ponderosa Pine Woodland...	\$ 20,000	Low	Yes	It's a good project habitat-wise, but I'd ask the applicant to expand on specific actions that could be done to benefit strategy species.	This proposal comes across as too deer focused. The applicant missed an opportunity to identify strategy species because they would likely benefit.

Project #	Rank	Lead Organization	Project Title	Funding Amount Requested	Priority (High, Med, Low)	Effective to Meet Objective	Questions	Comments
5	28	ODFW	Continuing the Upper Klamath Basin Juvenile Chinook Salmon Release Study	\$ 20,000	High	Maybe	Will the telemetry receivers be installed only at fixed sites; i.e., will there be any mobile tracking occurring? The proposal seems to indicate fixed sites, but it will be difficult to achieve stated Objective #2 and the second part of Objective #3 (habitat use) with any sort of precision without mobile tracking.	Klamath dam removal is a high priority for the state and region, and the re-population and re-introduction of salmon and steelhead into the upper Klamath basin is a primary expected outcome. This work has been determined through extensive development and coordination to support an important part of re-introduction outcomes.
21	29	Source One Serenity	Boulder Creek Wilderness Trails Project	\$ 5,018	Medium	Yes		
26	30	Conservation InSight	...Habitat Restoration on Grassland Birds at Powell Butte Nature Park	\$ 16,000	Medium	Yes	What are hourly rates for principal investigator and for field technician. Please provide brief description of work duties for each. Will demographic data and ArcGIS geodatabase also be provided to ODFW upon completion of the study?	Applicant applied for and secured a Wildlife STP from ODFW in 2019, 2020, and 2021. Annual reports for 2019 and 2020 submitted; 2021 annual report due to ODFW Jan 2022. personnel costs seem too high. Is Portland Parks and/or Portland Water Bureau contributing any funds toward this monitoring effort? All data should be provided to ODFW upon completion of the study.
16	31	Oregon State University	New Monitoring Technique for Yellow Rail in East Cascades Ecoregion	\$ 19,997	High	Yes	How does this project propose to assess non-breeding movements? Individual rails are less audible during certain times of year. Is this project specific to breeding season distribution? How could this tie into larger efforts to track non-breeding season movements to provide a better understanding of habitat use outside the breeding season?	This project proposal directly targets a priority identified by ODFW and partners
32	32	Deschutes Land Trust	Diverse Stakeholder Engagement for Skyline Forest	\$ 8,000	Medium	Yes	Who currently owns the property? Shouldn't they have a role in this conversation? What happens if the property is sold to a developer?	See questions to ask. I have some concern that this process is ahead of itself if the acquisition is not likely.
17	33	USGS	Colonial waterbird predation on the salmonids and suckers of the Upper K.B.	\$ 20,000	Medium-Low	Yes	What has happened to on-going funding for this long term dataset? If reduced, why? What will happen if this is not funded? Which species are PIT-tagged, and what has prior monitoring showed about predation on them? Are any other new species being tagged besides spring Chinook for reintroduction studies)? What proportion of the nesting sites are being monitored (i.e., are they all being covered)?	Avian predation can be a significant source of mortality for small fish. Maintaining this work will provide potentially-useful information around new efforts for spring Chinook reintroduction.
15	34	OWF	community science and photography...diets tufted puffins...at Haystack	\$ 20,000	Medium	Yes	How does this project propose to deal with detectability bias from visible/identifiable food items and less visible food items? Results from similar studies using camera traps to monitor aerial insectivore diet fed to nestlings were shown to be biased due to visibility of certain food types in the bill compared to others.	Recent mass starvation events in the Tufted Puffin have been documented in the Bering Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk. Diet composition can impact nest success for the species, and it is important to understand how this is contributing to the decline of the species in Oregon. I would like to see this tied to possible management action - how would furthering the understanding of diet composition for the species factor into future management decisions to protect this species in Oregon?
13	35	Rogue Mtn Bike Assoc.	Bull Gap Jump Line	\$ 20,000	High	Yes		Soft surface trails close to towns (such as the one proposed here) are among the highest statewide recreation priorities. Appropriate vetting and environmental review in place.
4	36	Oregon Boating Found.	Youth Programs & Kayak Tours	\$ 12,250	Low	Yes		This program largely funds capacity within an organization rather than a specific program or project - that could still lead to an increase in free outings provided
7	37	Yamhill Carlton H.S.	2021 - YC Trap Team	\$ 2,500	Medium	Yes		Does not appear to address equity, or work at the intersection of conservation and recreation which are core funding goals.